

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,065	PARKER ET AL.	
	Examiner Baoquoc N. To	Art Unit 2162	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) Baoquoc N. To. (3) _____.

(2) Mark J. Spolyar, Reg. No. 42,164. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 August 2007 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BQ

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner received a phone call from Mr. Spolyar to attempt to correct the language of claim 29 for example from accepting to accept in limitation a and b sub 1 and transmitting to transmit in limitation b sub 2. As discussed, the changes did not affect the scope of the invention. Therefore, the examiner verbally agreed to enter the Rule 312 Amendment if filed.



JOHN BREENE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100